

Effect of Financial Development on Poverty: An Empirical Case Study of Pakistan

Huma Riaz ¹ Khawaja Asif Mehmood ² Farzana Munir ³ Sidra Ilyas ⁴

Abstract: This research emphasizes on the effect of Financial Development (FDI) on poverty of Pakistan. Time series data from 1980 to 2024 is used in this study and Auto Regressive Distribution Lag (ARDL) technique is incorporated to estimate the regression result. Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), inflation, domestic credit to private sector by bank, poverty headcount ratio at national poverty lines are the variables used in this study. Long run relationship is found between FDI and poverty. Regression results have ascertained that a joint effect of FDI and FDI significantly reduces poverty. For the policy recommendation this study process to create concentrated policies for attracting FDI and using it with FDI for lowering down the poverty pressures.

Key Words: Financial Development, Poverty, ARDL

2 Introduction

3 Background of the Study

4 FDI is essentially a way to secure a proper financial system. The system is entailed to make the financial services available
5 to the government and stake holders to encourage the need of funds for the variety of macroeconomic goals for the
6 better economy and to regulate and supervise the financial organizations operation in the specific country (The World
7 Bank, 2023). The alleviation of poverty and to encourage economic growth depend upon FDI. According to the
8 International Monetary Fund (IMF) FDI is essential to tackle the issue of ever rising poverty therefore, FDI is stood to
9 compensate the destitute at large. FDI is useful for resolving the issues of unrest in macroeconomic stature. The financial
10 sector emerges as a result of the formation of companies and institutions which facilitate economic growth and the
11 mitigation of poverty (Guru & Yadav, 2019).

12 FDI raises growth in the economy, lowers poverty, and raises human standards of living. Advancements in finance
13 have been essential to the nation's economic growth. To evaluate the state of financial services and comprehend how
14 FDI affects both the growth of the economy and the decrease of poverty, it is imperative to have an accurate indicator
15 of FDI.

16 Growth of financial institutions and liquidity enhancement in a country might have radically different influence on
17 poverty. Reducing poverty sometimes means allowing the underprivileged to take part in growth and benefit from it.
18 So, in order to ease poverty, economic growth and pattern are important factors. And for instance, growth can result
19 in beneficial phases of opportunities and wealth. Parents tend to be more encouraged for making investments in their
20 children's education by sending them to school when there is strong growth and employment possibilities because both
21 cause reduction in the future poverty statistics of family. It is clear that poverty reduction and growth go in tandem.
22 together. It is less clear how income distribution affects this relationship, and in especially, whether higher levels of

¹ M.Phil. Scholar, School of Economics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan. Email: humariaz335@gmail.com

² Assistant Professor, School of Economics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan.

Email: khawjaasif@bzu.edu.pk

³ Assistant Professor, School of Economics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan.

Email: farzanamunir@bzu.edu.pk

⁴ Assistant Professor, School of Economics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan. Email: sidrailyas@bzu.edu.pk

inequality minimize the growth-induced reduction in poverty. Pakistan's poverty head count rate was 89.10%, a 1.3% decline from 2010-11, 86.50%, a 2.6% decline from 2011-13, 84.50%, a 0.7% increase in 2015-2018 and 83.80%, a 2.7% decline from 2013-15. Poverty, according to the American definition, which is measured in relation to the common conditions of our time frame that people are homeless, underweight, or without recourse to pure freshwater are clear signs of poverty. Poverty effects the living standard. In general, higher degrees of poverty result in lower levels of human capital accumulation, including lower levels of schooling. Every year, Pakistan's government spends million on a social safety initiatives program like; household credits for the poor families, household aid, and food stamps protect millions of Pakistanis out of poverty and lessen suffering for millions additional. Economic expansion can encourage employment and business ownership, which can subsequently lessen poverty by giving people more options to earn a living.

According to World Bank, In the government's Ministry of Economics and Development's report, which was submitted to the National Assembly of Pakistan, about 55 million people, or 24.3% of the country's population, were living in poverty. As of 2022, Pakistan has a Human Development Index (HDI) of 0.544, ranking it 161 out of 192 nations in the world. Poverty rate in Pakistan leads the list of nations with the lowest rates of poverty with a 4.9%. The World Bank claims that in 2017, Pakistan poverty rate even reached zero percent 2021. While economic expansion may reduce poverty, it also has the potential to widen the income gap. Meanwhile, FII is essential to trigger the disbursement of funds for variety of investment projects which can enable to create economic activities that lower the poverty rate by initiating the employment opportunities. Policymakers must take measures into consideration to ensure an equal distribution of the benefits of economic growth (American Philosopher Association Thomas Piketty).

Living standards are decreasing due to a weakening labour market and inflation in food prices, especially for lower-income families. Although reversing the cycle of poverty requires human capital, educating the destitute must be a top focused on both public and private sectors. "Agenda 21" highlights that as poverty is a complex, multidimensional problem, solutions have to be customized to meet the requirements of each unique country. However, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) predicts that the Covid-19 outbreak will cause the poverty level in Pakistan to increase to 40%.

IMF claims that Pakistan has not been able to sustain a decline in poverty as the consequence of ineffective policy implementation and gaps in policy. A concrete example of this is provided by each of the three land reform initiatives, following these changes, farming families that were without land or in poverty were excluded from the list of receivers of land. The sustainable development growth (SDGs) is audacious commitment to finish the job at hand and end poverty in all of its forms by 2030. This means focusing on the most vulnerable, increasing access to necessary services and resources, and providing assistance to people affected by fighting and catastrophic events.

Conceptualizing FII

FII in collaboration with FDI is crucial because the model result based on the outcome of these interaction term. FII enables companies to grow outdoors by raising the utilization of both labor and capital, as opposed to shifting the more expensive technologies beyond by projecting a boost in worker efficiency and cost.

Table I

FII Percentage and Poverty in Pakistan (Financial Institution Index)

Year	Financial institution index %(FII)	Poverty (\$1 Per Day)
2010	0.25	36.8
2011	0.25	36.3
2012	0.26	35.2
2013	0.26	29.5
2014	0.26	28.4
2015	0.26	24.3

Year	Financial institution index %(FII)	Poverty (\$1 Per Day)
2016	0.26	23.2
2017	0.26	22.9
2018	0.26	21.9
2019	0.27	20.8
2020	0.27	20.7
2021	0.27	20.6
2022	0.27	20.5

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

In 2010 financial institution index is collected from IMF in which development was 0.25 with the passage of time FII increasing year by year financial institution index 2014 that was 0.26, and in 2017 financial institution index was 0.26, in 2019 that financial institution index was 0.27 improve, in 2021, financial institution index 0.27 in 2022. The figures of poverty show falling trend since 2010 to 2022. The range is recorded from 36.8 to 20.5, respectively.

Problem Statement

Poverty is the major issue in the society, and it is solved by FII together. In lieu of promoting economic growth which raises salary and extends possibilities for employment for the poor, structural and financial changes can be conducted to improve efficiency and use of assets together with decreasing poverty. Emphasizing the fundamental wants of the poor in national development activities is a need of time. Economic growth raises demand for employment which enhances the resource accessibility to the poor as well as chances for employment. The over expanding employment opportunities and low poverty rate have been essential for achieving higher growth. The advantages of FII by raising the level of savings, attracting and combining resources, generating knowledge about investments, encouraging and promoting the inflows of foreign capital, and refining the allocation of capital, boost economic growth with capital accumulation and technological improvement and address the issue of poverty. After periods of continuous global poverty reduction, three years of lost productivity happened around 2020 and 2022 due to major rebounds and disasters. Countries with low incomes face an increase in poverty during this time; these countries are still struggling to recover for stable economic condition. This is the reason that this study is important because FII solves these macroeconomic problems together with foreign investment.

Main focus of this study is to explore whether FII in complement with FDI is helpful to solve the poverty related problem faced by society which is a burning issue of the current nations who have FII and FDI with pertained poverty pressures. Whereas FII can benefit economic growth, it is essential to understand that there are numerous variables in this link and the effects may differ based on the particulars of each country. Poverty is also a major issue in which society is affected if the unemployment rate rises then a direct relationship to the rate of poverty. Poverty in Pakistan is mostly caused by economic problems. A significant number of the population in the whole country suffer to get reliable employment. A number of factors, include an absence of infrastructure, knowledge, and training, can be responsible for the high level of unemployment that is the main cause of poverty in Pakistan While social powerlessness, political disenfranchisement, are major contributors to the perseverance of difficulty among the poor, financial instability is an important variable in the rise of poverty in Pakistan. Around 95 million Pakistanis are now poor, representing a figure of 34.2% to 39.4% of the nation's total population.

Research Objectives

The issues are poverty, the creation of employment, and economic growth. which are needed to be addressed contemporary of Pakistan by FII. This study is carried out to explore the impact of FII on the poverty in Pakistan. Scope of this study is to analyze if poverty reduction is fetched by with the help of FII and the collaboration of FDI. Poverty is non less than an economic unrest which leads towards higher crime rates and a drop in average economic growth. Stronger financial institutions are positively correlated with poverty address-ups.



101 **Research Questions**

- 102 ▶ Can unemployment and poverty be reduced at the back of FII?
- 103 ▶ Is there a cointegration between FII and Poverty?
- 104 ▶ Whether the interaction term of FII and FDI hold dissimilar results?

105

106 **Significance of Study**

107 It is essential to investigate how FII & FDI effect on poverty. This study highlights how enhanced financial services, such
 108 as credit and banking, contribute to addressing poverty. This information helps policymakers create policies which are
 109 successful to eliminate poverty. It shows how families with limited resources may overcome poverty with the use of
 110 financial services. World priorities like combating poverty and developing decent work with economic growth are
 111 supported by this research.

112 Better financial systems encourage growth, which in turn propels the expansion of the financial sector, creating a
 113 cycle of positive reinforcement. If those with low incomes have less access to financial services, save less money, and
 114 invest less, poverty slows down the development of the financial system. A high proportion of the population living in
 115 poverty reduces the demand for financial services, which limits the expansion of financial institutions and the
 116 advancement of financial systems.

117

118 **Literature Review**

119 Literature is reviewed to establish a justification to initiate regression analyses on the prescribed model. Also, this section
 120 aspires to cross-examine the undone work in the specific area of study which this study is initialized at.

121 Jeanneney and Kpodar ([2011](#)) investigated whether poverty might be alleviated both directly and indirectly via
 122 dispersion effects brought about by economic growth. Based on data collected from the McKinnon "conduit effect,"
 123 institutions would offer them greater assets for operations and savings after economic downturn followed financial
 124 progress. which is especially damaging to the poor, but the potential advantages much exceed the risks.

125 Javid et al. ([2012](#)) collected a study that focused on eliminating poverty in Pakistan and studied migration and
 126 economic growth. Both at the macro and micro levels that addressed the impact of remittances on development and
 127 growth in either a direct or indirect manner (Arif, [1999](#); Burney, [1987](#); Adams, [1998](#); Malik & Sarwar, [1993](#); Burki,
 128 [1991](#); Amjad, [1986](#); Kozel & Alderman, [1990](#); Nishat & Bilgrami, [1991](#)). Utilizing the ARDL Model, the results suggested
 129 that remittances have an important effect on reducing poverty and have a beneficial effect on economic growth. The
 130 statistical influence of remittances on decreasing poverty is especially large. The inflow of remittances cannot, in the long
 131 run, be an obstacle to growth because the economic and social circumstances in nations that receive them are steadily
 132 increasing. The impact of worker remittances on poverty and advancement in developing Asia-Pacific states is also
 133 examined by Jongwanich ([2007](#)). Remittances, based on data, validated a significant impact on ending poverty but little
 134 effect on economic growth.

135 Javid et al. ([2012](#)) examined that there is a positive correlation among economic growth and the decline of poverty
 136 (Imran & Khalil, [2012](#)). The connection between Industrial development and FII in 1971 to 2010 Pakistan was
 137 investigated through Johnson's co-integration test and ARDL technique. The results indicated that reduction of poverty
 138 ultimately improves the beneficiary nation's economic and social standing without a wholesome manufacturing industry,
 139 there could be no manufacturing growth; therefore, the financial industry, which actively produces opportunities for
 140 employment, contributes to the decreasing poverty.

141 Study by Khan et al. ([2012](#)) focused on how FII supports Pakistan's efforts to decrease poverty. In their literature,
 142 time series data was examined using Wald testing and Johansen cointegration employed Augmented Dickey-Fuller
 143 (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) tests. The connection among poverty and FII is never bi-directional nor unidirectional,
 144 and there is an unequal connection between market imperfections and the availability of credit in the economy. When
 145 some people stopped contributing to national investments, the development rate increased, and the productive capacity
 146 of large cities had a negative impact on rural areas' ability to operate. Cities are known for their poverty, and private



147 banking institutions steer clear of funding small-scale and Agri-based businesses due to their expensive nature, which
148 directly fosters poverty. The findings show that, in both unit root statistics, poverty and FII remain stagnant at their first
149 variance rather than at their initial level.

150 Alkire and Santos (2014) relied upon the data from household surveys in a panel of 100 developing nations where
151 it was found that poverty had gradually improved. Decisions about the weights, deprivation cutoffs, poverty cutoff, and
152 Human Development Index (HDI) for the MPI parameters were made within these limitations. Results of the MPI
153 estimation that constituent components, A (intensity) and H (headcount ratio). The Online Supplementary data contain
154 the results, including the suppressed headcount ratios for the indicators. MPI estimation outcomes estimations of global
155 poverty, Global poverty distribution, the degree of poverty, those living in poverty, and the deprived non-poor. The
156 proportion of resilient comparisons across different MPI specifications and significant pairwise comparisons in the
157 beginning MPI in the developing world used in this study. The MPI results are resilient to shifts in the deprivation
158 thresholds of the indicators (and even in some indicators such as child nutrition).

159 Shamim et al. (2014) focused upon poverty through time series data for the period of 1973-2011. The regression
160 estimates were collected by using the ARDL technique and the results showed that there was positive relationship
161 among Investment to GDP Ratio, Trade Openness, Exchange Rate, Political Stability, FII and FDI. Results showed that
162 FDI had a negative impact on poverty other factors that also lessen poverty in the nation include public investment,
163 gross domestic product, and FII.

164 Dauda et al. (2014) initiated poverty related investigation using the Vector autoregressive (VAR) model along with
165 the impulse reaction to explore the financial sector's influence on poverty alleviation and development indicates that,
166 while inequality in income has long-term adverse effects on poverty reduction, it has a short-term, large indirect effect
167 on economic growth. The research concluded that credit to the business community have not, in actuality, resulted in
168 a decrease in the level of poverty in Nigeria. The long-term consequences of financial sector development offer
169 satisfaction to those in poverty.

170 According to Dhrifi (2015), financial breakthroughs have no positive impact on the economies with low incomes,
171 but help to get rid of poverty, reducing inequality, and encourage economic growth in significant and middle-income
172 countries. The research additionally discovered that while innovations in the banking sector increased the distribution
173 of income disparities in low- and middle-income countries, they decreased disparity in high-income ones (Shamim et
174 al., 2014).

175 Boukhatem (2015) instigated study demonstrating that FII immediately reduces poverty and improves the
176 impoverished by expanding their possible sources of income and enhancing their standard of living. Creating an
177 economic setting and accumulating assets to mitigate Mckinnon's "conduit effect". Beck et al. (2007) made the same
178 conclusions. Econometric techniques of GMM were applied. The findings showed that there is no profusion of
179 instrumentation and that the restrictions on inclusion are applicable. Moreover, they might throw light over pro-poor
180 public investment techniques in low and middle-income countries. People in need population experiences financial
181 volatility, which also partly minimizes the positive effects of financial advancements (Jeanneney & Kpodar, 2011).

182 Desbordes and Wei et al. (2017) examined the empirical method to secondary effects of FII (DFD and SFD, in
183 particular) on FDI resulting from the influence of FII on net output, as well as the different immediate effects of FII on
184 FDI. DFD and SFD have significant favorable effects on FDI in nations that are developing, development, and acquisitions
185 and mergers due to their directly increased outside monetary available and indirect promotion of manufacturing
186 activities. Their total economic implications can be frequently comparable since DFD and SFD have varied both direct
187 and indirect implications on many different FDI sectors and categories.

188 Adamkovic and Martoncik (2017) found that poverty is a major, persistent problem that affects entire communities.
189 This Study influenced on the information that supported the requirements for developing a framework which explains
190 the cognitive process through which poverty is reduced. It also addressed the issue of how financial decision-making is



191 impacted by poverty. Research on poverty have shown the connection between adverse reactions brought on by
192 poverty and an increase in cognitive load that leads to persistent poverty.

193 Kaidi et al. (2019) studied the applicable research and a few indicators related to FII, institutional quality, and poverty.
194 They investigated a sample directly using the three-stage least squares technique. Kaidi et al. (2019) confirmed that
195 while FII does not directly improve the daily lives of the poor, the result of institutional quality on both FII and poverty
196 relies on the measures of quality utilized. Numerous researchers conducted the relation between FII and poverty like
197 Jeanneney and Kpodar (2008), Sehrawat and Giri (2015), Abdin (2016), Abosedra et al. (2016), Ho and Lyke (2017).

198 Aguilar and Sumner (2020) showed the differences between the original Alkire-Foster measurement of multiple
199 dimensions of poverty and other multidimensional indicators, and they also discussed its significance for calculations of
200 the poverty population. They got to the judgment that young individuals who live in countryside areas might not be
201 employed in agriculture thus represent the majority of the world's multiple dimensions poor. The overall contribution
202 is to give a discussion of variance across multidimensional poverty indices and to provide an updated set of estimates
203 for the across-the-global multidimensional poverty picture.

204 Azam et al. (2020) and Tariq et al. (2020) found the cause related to poverty in Pakistan. The secondary information
205 collected for this study covered time from 1975-2016. The analysis of Principal Components Analysis (PCA) is a method
206 employed for producing a FII index that utilizes a number of financial statistics ARDL technique is utilized. Research
207 revealed that trade openness and the expanding of financial services have an enormous effect on eliminating inequality
208 in Pakistan. The study highlighted the demand for liberalization of trade even further with the goal to decrease the
209 overall level of poverty, liberalization of trade, and growth of the financial sector are essential.

210 Le and Leshan (2020) eco-compensation in China which is referred to as payment for ecological services (PES) in
211 other situations has become an essential instrument to handle the natural world as well as decreasing poverty. Eco-
212 compensation has been identified by the Chinese government in general as one of the five primary methods to decrease
213 poverty. Utilizing survey responses of rural families in three Guizhou Province, China, poverty counties to evaluate the
214 impact on different eco-compensation plans on lowering the level of poverty for different income categories of rural
215 families. Low-income of people' income is significantly benefited by the Environmental Employment Project. Although
216 the eco-compensation method has been specifically designed for it, eco-compensation can sometimes fail to decrease
217 poverty. The direct effect of eco-compensation on the earnings of farmers is dependent upon the nature and quantity
218 of the eco-compensation.

219 Wang & Hu (2023) exclaimed that disability-related poverty has consistently been a major concern and barrier for
220 global poverty management. China is implementing a number of employment programs and changes to substitute
221 welfare with problems of society such as poverty. Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI), The Alkire-Foster (AF)
222 technique was implemented on OLS model. The findings reflected that poverty contributes significantly to the areas of
223 education and community engagement than it does to the areas of the economy like, welfare and security. A lot of
224 disabled people suffer from complex poverty. The multiple forms of poverty suffered by people who have disabilities
225 and the impact of employment resources on decreasing poverty can be used to establish better government programs
226 that focus on solving poverty.

227 Shair et al. (2024) examined that how Pakistan's poverty and income inequality levels are affected by institutional
228 and financial growth. For empirical study, time series data spanning 1984 to 2019 was used. The ARDL was employed
229 in their empirical predictions to examine the immediate results. According to Shair et al. (2024) positive short-term
230 correlation between institutional quality and poverty is evident with a statistically negligible long-term impact on poverty
231 levels. According to their research, the short- and long-term effects of institutional growth on income disparity in Pakistan
232 seem to be statistically negligible.

233 Kanat et al. (2023) used the Bayer and Hanck cointegration approach and the ARDL bound test on the data from
234 1985 to 2022 and explored that FII affects gender inequality and poverty in Pakistan while adjusting for a number of
235 other variables in their research. Reducing gender disparity can also help to lower poverty levels in Pakistan. Kanat et



236 al. (2023) affirmed that economic growth is a dependable strategy to do so. Unexpectedly, poverty has grown because
 237 of lack of education. Better education and skill development was suggested for downing poverty.
 238

239 Methodology

240 Data Collection

241 The present study aims at the notion that FII and FDI are essential to curtail poverty in Pakistan. Therefore, for the
 242 purpose of analyses, secondary data is used from 1980 to 2024. The sources of data and the description of the variables
 243 are given in Table 2.
 244

245 **Table 2**

246 *Measurement of Variable Description*

Variable	Short form of variable	Operational Definition Measurement	Source
Poverty headcount ratio at national poverty line (% of Population)	PHR	The percentage of the population living below the national poverty line is known as the national poverty headcount ratio (s). Population-weighted subgroup estimates from home surveys serve as the foundation for national estimates. The reporting year is the income comparison year, or the year prior to the survey year, for economies from which the statistics come from EU-SILC.	World Bank Development Indicators (WDI)
Unemployment total (% of total labor force) (National estimate)	UNEMP	The percentage of people in the workforce that is jobless yet looking for work is referred to as unemployment. Each country has its own criteria for the labor force and unemployment.	WDI
Foreign direct investment, net inflows (% of GDP)	FDI	FDI measures the net investment flow into a foreign economy, representing ownership stakes of 10% or more. It includes equity, reinvested earnings, and other capital, divided by GDP.	WDI
Financial Institutions Depth Index	FII	IMF's Financial Institutions Depth Index is like a map, showing how good a country's financial system is. It helps countries make their financial systems better, so everyone can have more opportunities for banking and investing, leading to prosperity.	WDI
Inflation, consumer prices (annual %)	INFL	Consumer price index (CPI) inflation tracks the yearly change in the cost of a standard basket of goods and services for the average consumer.	WDI
Domestic credit to private sector (% of GDP)	DGS	Finances provided to companies by financial institutions constitute domestic credit to the private sector. and individuals, including loans and securities purchases. It involves banks, monetary authorities, and other financial entities, offering services like lending and insurance.	WDI
Domestic credit to private sector by banks (% Of GDP)	DCPSB	Banks' domestic lending to the private sector represents the funds lent to businesses and individuals by banks, including loans, securities purchases, and trade credits. It establishes a repayment obligation and excludes central banks.	WDI



247 **Specified Model**

248 The Multiple form of general regression model

249
$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_n X_n + \mu \quad (1)$$

250 $\alpha = \text{intercept}$ 251 $\beta = \text{coefficient}$ 252 $\mu = \text{error term}$

253

254 **Unrestricted ARDL Model**255 **ARDL Model**

256 The goal of this study is to measure the stable nature of the variables using the unit root test in order to determine the
 257 long-term relationship between them. When a variable exhibits stationary patterns at many integral levels, such as I (0)
 258 or I (1), integration can be demonstrated to exist using the ARDL model. When contrast with conventional techniques
 259 used to investigate the strength of the connection between the various components, this type of technique has been
 260 deemed effective. The variable lasting associations with their functional forms (1, 2, 3, and 4) are created through an
 261 Error Correction Term (ECT).

262
$$PHR_t = \alpha + \beta_1 UNEMP + \beta_2 FDI \times FII + \beta_3 INFL + \beta_4 DGS + \beta_5 DCPSB + \mu_t \quad (2)$$

263 **3.3.2 Long Run Unrestricted ARDL Model 3**

264
$$PHR = \alpha + \beta_1 PHR_{t-1} + \beta_2 UNEMP_{t-1} + \beta_3 FDI \times FII_{t-1} + \beta_4 INFL_{t-1} + \beta_5 DGS_{t-1} + \beta_6 DCPSB_{t-1} \quad (3)$$

265
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\rho^1} \delta_1 \Delta PHR_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^2} \delta_2 \Delta UNEMP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^3} \delta_3 \Delta FDI \times FII_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^4} \delta_4 \Delta INFL_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^5} \delta_5 \Delta DGS_{t-i} +$$

266
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\rho^6} \delta_6 \Delta DCPSB_{t-i} + \mu_t \quad (4)$$

267 $\beta_1 = \text{long run multipliers}$ 268 $\delta = \text{short run dynamics parameters}$ 269 $\Delta = \text{first difference}$ 270 $\mu_t = \text{error term}$

271 Long run coefficient found by following equation

272
$$PHR = \alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^1} \eta_1 PHR_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^2} \eta_2 UNEMP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^3} \eta_3 FDI \times FII_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^4} \eta_4 INFL_{t-i} +$$

273
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\rho^5} \eta_5 DGS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^6} \eta_6 DCPSB_{t-i} + \mu_t \quad (5)$$

274 Equation (4)

275 $\alpha = \text{coefficient}$ 276 $\eta = \text{long run parameter}$ 277 $\mu = \text{error term}$

278 An extended connection is illogical if the lower bonding quantity exceeds the F-statistics value. Building the short-term
 279 relationship with ECT happens next after building the long-term relationship. The following equation (5) represents the
 280 short-term relationship by ECT in its functional form Model 3.

281

282 **Short Run Coefficient Estimation Model 3**

283 This can be accomplished with the use of the Error Correlation Technique (ECT). The following equation reflects the
 284 given form of the ECT.

285
$$\Delta PHR = \alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^1} \lambda_1 PHR_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^2} \lambda_2 \Delta UNEMP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^3} \lambda_3 \Delta FDI \times FII_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^4} \lambda_4 \Delta INFL_{t-i} +$$

286
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\rho^5} \lambda_5 \Delta DGS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\rho^6} \lambda_6 \Delta DCPSB_{t-i} + \omega ECT + \mu_t \quad (6)$$

287 ω is the coefficient of ECT.

288 $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_n$ are the coefficient, α is an intercept, and μ_t is the error term of a model. In equation (2) PHR is
 289 dependent variable and UNEMP, FII, FDI, DGS, DCPSB, INFL FDI \times FII are the control and main independent variable.

290

291 **Diagnostics**

292 The regression estimation is tested for the validity. In this regard, the serial correlation is checked via Breusch-Godfrey
 293 LM Test. the Heteroscedasticity is analyzed by Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey Test. The model specification is authenticated

294 by Ramsey RESET test. And the Normality is checked with the help of Jarque-Bera along with the CUSUM and CUSUM
 295 squared are exercised for the validation of structural stability of the model.
 296

297 **Results and Discussion**

298 An empirical evaluation of the effects of FII, employment, poverty, and economic growth is offered in Section 4.3. The
 299 findings of the research are presented, and the consequences are examined in light of current thinking and other
 300 research in this region.
 301

302 **Descriptive Statistic**

303 Descriptive statistics, an instance of statistical model, describe and explain the key features of a sample or dataset. In
 304 alongside measurements of central tendencies such as mean, median, and mode, it indicates the computation of
 305 measurement of variation such as range, variance, and standard deviation. This allows for an improved understanding
 306 of the data. Table 4.1 offers an extensive and comprehensive study of the major Pakistan variable discussed above. The
 307 descriptive study includes a graphical depiction of the data, such as histograms, scatter plots, or box plots, in order to
 308 better comprehend the distribution and trends of the information being examined.
 309

310 **Table 3**

311 *Descriptive Statistic*

	DCPSB	DGS	FDI	FDI×FII	FII	INFL	PHR	UNEMP
Mean	21.12	21.38	0.80	0.08	0.09	8.47	52.68	4.11
Maximum	29.79	29.79	3.04	0.38	0.12	20.29	74.60	7.83
Minimum	13.80	13.88	0.10	0.01	0.07	2.53	20.50	0.40
Std. Dev.	4.43	4.52	0.64	0.07	0.01	4.09	19.94	2.15
Skewness	-0.21	-0.28	2.15	2.59	0.82	0.84	-0.51	-0.11
Kurtosis	1.87	1.78	7.42	9.60	3.97	3.94	1.60	2.16
JB	2.61	3.23	68.14	126.26	6.49	6.67	5.34	1.37
Prob	0.27	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.50

312 Table 3 represent the descriptive analyses of several variable in Pakistan. Wider dispersion is evident in DCPSB and
 313 DGS. The skewness makes it clear that FDI, FDI× FII, and INF is positively skewed. DCPSB, DGS, PHR, and UMEMP
 314 are platykurtic unlike the rest of variables which are leptokurtic in nature. Moreover, UNEMP, DGS, and DCPSB are
 315 recorded for normal distribution.
 316

317 **Correlation**

318 **Table 4**

319 *Correlation Result*

	DCPSB	DGS	FDI	FDI×FII	FII	INFL	PHR	UNEMP
DCPSB	1.00							
DGS	0.99	1.00						
FDI	0.02	-0.03	1.00					
FDI×FII	0.01	-0.03	0.99	1.00				
FII	-0.10	-0.12	0.71	0.76	1.00			
INFL	0.11	0.09	0.31	0.26	0.01	1.00		
PHR	0.79	0.81	-0.17	-0.16	-0.16	-0.15	1.00	
UNEMP	-0.19	-0.19	-0.39	-0.38	-0.09	-0.21	0.17	1.00

321 Correlation results are given in Table 4. DGS and DCPSB are recorded for higher correlation. PHR is also traced for
 322 more than moderate correlation with DCPSB and DGS. Rest of the variables are found to be least correlated.
 323



324 Empirical Analysis

325 The only method that is frequently used to stratify data on the risk factor under study is empirical analysis. In order to
326 do this, the data must be divided into groups or strata according to particular traits or variables. For each stratum, the
327 estimated risk of an event of interest is used to test the hypothesis on its association. Three particular tests are addressed
328 in the framework of the study: unit root test, an autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) test, and bond test of
329 cointegration.

330

331 Unit Root Test

332 A statistical method used to determine if a time series passes the unit root test. A unit root test reveals a series is non-
333 stationary, indicating it does not display long-term trends before converging to a stable mean.

334 In Table 5, Augmented Dickey-Fuller stationarity test results are given. To determine if the time series data is stationary
335 or not, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test is utilized. Some variables are stationary at level such as while other variables
336 like; are stationary at 1st difference.

337

338 **Table 5**

339 *Results of Unit Root*

Variable	Computed Statistic	t-Statistic	Conclusion
PHR	-3.60	-5.62	I (1)
UNEMP	-3.60	-6.47	I (1)
INF	-3.60	-5.62	I (1)
FDI	-3.60	-4.36	I (0)
FII	3.60	-5.22	I (0)
DGS	-3.60	-6.89	I (1)
DCPSB	-3.60	-6.25	I (1)

340

341 Bound Test of Cointegration

342 The Bound test of cointegration is a statistical model used to assess if a long-term link exists between variables of
343 concern. To accomplish this, it is necessary to estimate an ECT that includes relevant variables and the initial difference.

344 The H_0 , or the coefficient on the first differenced variable, assumes that there is no long-term connection in the bound
345 test. Cointegration occurs, if establishes that cointegration exists between the variables of the specified model, if the
346 hypothesis H_0 is rejected.

347 To classify the long-term relationship, the linear models is developed below

348 **Model:** $PHR = f(UNEMP, FDI \times FII, INFL, DGS, DCPSB)$

349

350 ARDL Bound Test

351 **Sample:** 1980 to 2024

352 H_0 : No long-run Relationship

353

354 **Table 6**

355 *Test Result Bound ARDL*

Test Statistic	Value	K
F-statistic	4.49	5
Critical value		
Significance	I (0)	I (1) Bound
10%	2.26	3.35
5%	2.62	3.79
2.5%	2.96	4.18
1%	3.41	4.68

356 An ARDL bound test on sample data indicates a long-run relationship between Cointeq and the independent variables.
 357 Therefore, the H_0 is held rejected by proving that the F-statistic value of 4.49 is more than the table value at 3.79 the
 358 5% significance level.

359

360 **Autoregressive Distribution Lag**

361 The ARDL technique of coefficient estimation is superior when the order of integration is mixed i.e. I (0) and I (0). The
 362 ARDL surpasses rest of the analytical techniques in estimating the independent variable's short- and long-term effects.
 363 This study constructed an additional linear model to test if both the cointegration and log-run form occur.

364

365 **Table 7**

366 *Model Long-Run Coefficient*

Dependent Variable (PHR)				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
UNEMP	2.31	1.10	2.12	0.08
FII×FDI	-236.24	78.10	-3.02	0.01
INFL	-1.03	0.90	-1.15	0.26
DGS	10.07	4.05	2.49	0.02
DCPSB	-7.12	4.04	-1.76	0.09
C	-21.65	14.55	-1.49	0.15

367

368 UNEMP is the independent variable and PHR is the dependent variable in the prescribed regression model. The results,
 369 given in Table 7, show that ENEMP is a significant predictor of PHR. The long run coefficient of UNEMP is 2.31 which
 370 shows that one unit rise in UNEMP is to cause poverty to increase by 2.31 units. Furthermore, the finding on FII×FDI
 371 is depicting a significant fall in poverty by 236.24 units at the back of either of one unit fall in the same. The result
 372 supports the study of Uddin et al. (2012).

373 FDI and FII are the core variables. The control variables are mostly found for the significant impact on the PHR.
 374 INF and constant of the model are held insignificant. DGS is positive in relation with PHR. Meanwhile, DCPSB is posting
 375 significant negative effect on PHR. Among the two financial sources. Both being a source of financial availability, the
 376 effects on poverty are surprisingly mixed. DCPSB which is domestic credit to private sector is more vibrant in transpiring
 377 the effects on poverty. Moving onto the domestic credit as a percentage of GDP, the poverty is seen increasing. The
 378 possible reason may be that such credit disbursements motivate the firms to use mechanized way of production
 379 therefore, any of the attempt to lay off the workers cause them unemployment which later take boost the poverty
 380 figures.

381

382 **Table 8**

383 *Short-run Coefficient Model*

Dependent Variable (PHR)				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
D(UNEMP)	-0.32	0.91	-0.35	0.73
D (UNEMP (-1))	-1.61	1.03	-1.56	0.13
D (UNEMP (-2))	-0.95	1.15	-0.83	0.42
D (UNEMP (-3))	2.13	0.97	-2.20	0.04
D (FDI×FII)	-55.85	33.12	-1.69	0.09
D (INFL)	0.14	0.32	0.44	0.67
D (DGS)	0.40	2.91	0.14	0.89
D (DCPSB)	0.52	2.98	0.17	0.86
CointEq (-1)	-0.46	0.10	-4.72	0.00



384 The Table 8 concludes the short run results. Of the series of variables, the UNEMP and $FII \times FDI$ are accounted for the
 385 significant impact on PHR. Fall in poverty is evident at the back of UNEMP and combined effect of FII and FDI. The
 386 positive spell outs of FDI in context of poverty reduction are not foreign (Shamim et al., 2014; Vandenberg, 2006). The
 387 coefficient of error term is also correctly specified, significant, and within range.

388
 389 **Sensitivity Analysis**

390 The sensitivity analysis is done to validate the regression estimates and the outcomes are given in Table 9. The analyses
 391 are done of serial correlation by LM Test suggested by Breusch-Godfrey where no signs of serial correlation are traced.
 392 Whereas the heteroskedasticity is found to be present. The diagnostic for the correct specification of the model is not
 393 rejected. Finally, the Jarque-Bera statistics also affirm that the sample distribution is not irregularly distributed for the
 394 estimated residuals.

395
 396 **Table 9**
 397 *Sensitivity Analyses*

Test	F-statistic	Conclusion
Breusch-Godfrey LM Test	0.39	No Serial Correction
Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey Heteroskedasticity Test	0.00	No Homoscedastic
Ramsey RESET Test	0.23	Model is Correctly Specified
Jarque-Bera Normality Test	0.12	Residuals are Normally Distributed

398
 399 **CUSUM and CUSUM Squared Test**

400 **Figure 1**
 401 *CUSUM and CUSUM Squared Test*



402
 403 In order to determine whether a structural split exists, the CUSUM and CUSUM squared test is run. In addition, the
 404 H_0 shows the structural stability of the coefficients. Thus, no proof of structural instability at the model is evident. The
 405 H_0 is rejected because the CUSUM and CUSUM squared sequence lie inside the critical zone which confirm that the
 406 coefficient of the model is reliable.

407
 408 **Conclusion & Policy Recommendation**
 409 **Conclusion**

410 The present research focuses on how FII and FDI combinedly affect poverty in the context of Pakistan. Time series data
 411 from 1980 to 2024 were used in this investigation. The ARDL approach is employed for data estimation. The long-run
 412 and short-run coefficient results are significant in case of UNEMP and $FII \times FDI$ apart from DGS and DCPSB where the
 413 coefficients are significant in long run alone. It is vital to note that the joint effect of $FII \times FDI$ is significant and according
 414 to the hypothesis of the study.

415



416 **Policy Recommendation**

417 Based on the empirical results which indicate that FII and FDI have reduced poverty, the policy makers can consider
418 the following policy recommendations:

- 419 1. Implement the policies to surge access to banking services for the underserved populations - Promote the plate
420 form of mobile banking and digital financial services - Reassure microfinance institutions to expand their reach to
421 dampen poverty at large.
- 422 2. Develop robust regulations in the financial services to ensure stability and protect consumers - Implement
423 measures to prevent predatory lending practices and to enhance the transparency in financial institutions for
424 addressing poverty.
- 425 3. Offer targeted incentives for FDI in sectors which generate employment for low-income groups. There is an
426 utmost need to develop policies for encouraging technology transfer and skill development through FDI so that
427 the linkages between foreign investors and local small and medium enterprises is promoted to lower poverty
428 pressures.
- 429 4. It is essential to invest in physical and digital infrastructure for supporting financial services and FDI towards poverty
430 reduction.
- 431 5. To invest in education and vocational training to improve employability, promote financial literacy programs to
432 help individual be employment and less victims of poverty.

433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462



463 **References**

- 464 Abdin, J. (2016). Financial development and poverty reduction: Exploring the links between the issues using evidence
465 from Bangladesh. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 7(4). <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v7n4p44>
- 466 Abosedra, S., Shahbaz, M., & Nawaz, K. (2016). Modeling causality between financial deepening and poverty reduction
467 in Egypt. *Social Indicators Research*, 126(3), 955–969. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-0929-2>
- 468 Adamkovic, M. & Martoncik, M. (2017). A review of consequences of poverty on Economic decision making: A
469 hypothesized model of a cognitive Mechanism. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8(2017), 1-13.
- 470 Adams, R. H., Jr. (1998). Remittances, investment, and rural asset accumulation in Pakistan. *Economic Development
471 and Cultural Change*, 47(1), 155–173. <https://doi.org/10.1086/452390>
- 472 Aguilar, G., R., & Sumner, A. (2020). Who is the world's poor? A new profile of global multidimensional poverty. *World
473 Development*, 126(104716), 104716. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104716>
- 474 Alkire, S., & Santos, M. E. (2014). Measuring acute poverty in the developing world: Robustness and scope of the
475 multidimensional poverty index. *World Development*, 59, 251–274.
476 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.01.026>
- 477 Amjad, R. (1986). Impact of worker's remittances from Middle East on Pakistan economy: Some selected issues. *The
478 Pakistan Development Review*, 25(4), 757-782. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41258790>
- 479 Arif, G. M. (1999). Remittances and investments at the household level in Pakistan (Research Report No. 166). PIDE,
480 Islamabad, Pakistan.
- 481 Azam, A., Mehmood, K. A., Latif, N., Faridi, M. Z., & Khan, A. (2020). Financial development and poverty mitigation:
482 Evidence from Pakistan. *International Journal of Management*, 11(8), 137-147.
- 483 Beck, T., Demircuc-Kunt, A., & Martinez Peria, M. S. (2007). Reaching out: Access to and use of banking services across
484 countries. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 85(1), 234–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.07.002>
- 485 Boukhatem, J. (2016). Assessing the direct effect of financial development on poverty reduction in a panel of low- and
486 middle-income countries. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 37, 214–230.
487 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2015.11.008>
- 488 Burki, S. J. (1991). Migration from Pakistan to the Middle East. In D. G. Papademetriou & P. L. Martin (Eds). *The
489 unsettled relationship: Labour migration and economic development*. London: Greenwood Press.
- 490 Burney, N. A., & Ahmad, M. A. (1987). Workers' Remittances from the Middle East and their Effect on Pakistan's
491 Economy [with Comments]. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 26(4), 745–763.
492 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41259012>
- 493 Dauda, R., & Makinde, K. (2014). Financial sector developing and poverty reduction in Nigeria: a vector auto regression
494 analysis (1980-2010). *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 4(8), 1040-1061. [http://aessweb.com/journal-
495 detail.php?id=5002](http://aessweb.com/journal-detail.php?id=5002)
- 496 Desbordes, R. & Wei, S. J. (2017). The effects of FND on foreign direct investment. *Journal of Development
497 Economics*, 127(2017), 153-168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2017.02.008>
- 498 Dhryfi, A. (2015). Financial development and the “growth-inequality-poverty” triangle. *Journal of the Knowledge
499 Economy*, 6(4), 1163–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-014-0200-0>
- 500 Guru, B. K., & Yadav, I. S. (2019). Financial development and economic growth: panel evidence from BRICS. *Journal
501 of Economics, Finance, and Administrative Science*, 24(47), 113–126. [https://doi.org/10.1108/jefas-12-2017-
502 0125](https://doi.org/10.1108/jefas-12-2017-0125)
- 503 Ho, S. Y., & Njindan Iyke, B. (2017). Does financial development lead to poverty reduction in China? Time series
504 evidence. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 9(1), 99–112. [https://mpa.ub.uni-
505 muenchen.de/id/eprint/78922](https://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/id/eprint/78922)
- 506 Imran, K., & Khalil, S. (2012). Contribution of financial development in poverty reduction through industrial growth.
507 *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 25(5), 567-576.
- 508 Javid, M., Arif, U., & Qayyum, A. (2012). Impact of remittances on economic growth and poverty. *Academic Research
509 International*, 2(1), 433–447.
- 510 Jeanneney, S. G., & Kpodar, K. (2011). Financial development and poverty reduction: Can there be a benefit without
511 a cost? *The Journal of Development Studies*, 47(1), 143–163.
512 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2010.506918>
- 513 Jongwanich, J. 2007. Workers' remittances, economic growth and poverty in developing Asia and the Pacific countries
514 (MPDD Working Paper Series WP/07/01), United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the
515 Pacific (ESCAP).

- 516 Kaidi, N., Mensi, S., & Ben Amor, M. (2019). Financial development, institutional quality and poverty reduction:
 517 Worldwide evidence. *Social Indicators Research*, 141(1), 131–156. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-018-1836-0)
 518 [1836-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-018-1836-0)
- 519 Kanat, O., Yan, Z., Asghar, M. M., Zaidi, S. A. H., & Sami, A. (2023). Gender inequality and poverty: The role of
 520 financial development in mitigating poverty in Pakistan. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 15(3), 11848–
 521 11876. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01527-y>
- 522 Khan, R. E. A., Gill, A. R., Noreen, S. (2012). Financial development and poverty in Pakistan: Causality analysis. *Archives*
 523 *Des Sciences*, 65(11), 223-233.
- 524 Kozel, V., & Alderman, H. (1990). Factors determining work participation and labour supply decision in Pakistan. *The*
 525 *Pakistan Development Review*, 29, 473-479. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41259410>
- 526 Le, W., & Leshan, J., (2020). How eco-compensation contribute to poverty reduction: A perspective from different
 527 income group of rural households in Guizhou, China. *Journal of cleaner production* 275,
 528 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122962>
- 529 Malik, S. J., & Sarwar, N. (1993). Some tests of differences in consumption pattern: The impact of remittances using
 530 household income expenditure survey of Pakistan 1987-88. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 32(4), 699-
 531 711. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41259689>
- 532 Nishat, M. and Bilgrami, N. (1991) The impact of migrant worker's remittances on Pakistan economy. *Pakistan*
 533 *Economic and Social Review*, 29, 21-41. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25825057>
- 534 Sehrawat, M., & Giri, A. K. (2015). Financial development, poverty and rural–urban income inequality: Evidence from
 535 South Asian countries. *Quality & Quantity*, 50(2), 577–590. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-015-0164-6>
- 536 Shair, W., Tayyab, M., Ul Hassan, R., & Iftikhar, R. (2024). Effect of institutional and financial development on poverty
 537 and income inequality in Pakistan. *Journal of Excellence in Management Sciences*, 3(2), 130–148.
 538 <https://doi.org/10.69565/jems.v3i2.258>
- 539 Shamim, A., Ayeem, P. & Naqvi, A. M. (2014). Impact of foreign direct investment on poverty reduction in Pakistan
 540 international. *Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 4(10), 2222-6990.
 541 <http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v4-i10/1244>
- 542 Tariq, R., Department of Management Sciences, COMSATS University, Islamabad, Pakistan, Khan, M. A., & Rahman,
 543 A. (2020). How does financial development impact economic growth in Pakistan? New evidence from
 544 threshold model. *Journal of Asian Finance Economics and Business*, 7(8), 161–173.
 545 <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no8.161>
- 546 Uddin, G. S., Shahbaz, M., Arouri, M., & Teulon, F. (2014). Financial development and poverty reduction nexus: A
 547 cointegration and causality analysis in Bangladesh. *Economic Modelling*, 36, 405–412.
 548 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2013.09.049>
- 549 Vandenberg, P., & Creation, J. (2006). Poverty reduction through small enterprises. *SEED Working paper*, 75, 1-60.
- 550 Wang, X., Guo, J., & Li, H. (2023). Multidimensional poverty of persons with disabilities in China: an analysis of poverty
 551 reduction effect of employment services. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1093978.
 552 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1093978>
- 553